UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,022	08/17/2006	David Shilliday	53982/323801	1007	
	23370 7590 03/04/2010 JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			BERGIN, JAMES S		
SUITE 2800	100 PEACHTREE STREET UITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30309			3641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/04/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,022	SHILLIDAY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES S. BERGIN	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17,	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 15-24 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 15-24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 December 2005 is/  Applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.  ner.  vare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	zammor. Note the attached emec	7700011 01 1011111 1 10 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/27/2006; 5/19/2006; 7/03/2006; 3/26/	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 2007. 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/560,022 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Grace et al. (US 6,062,134).

Grace et al. clearly disclose a distributed charge inflator system substantially as claimed by the applicants claims 15-18 and 20 (see at least Figs. 1a, 3, 5, 4m; Figs. 5 and 5a and the supporting sections of the specification).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19, 21, 22, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grace et al. (US 6,062,134) in view of WO 01/34516 A2 (Primex Aerospace Company).

Regarding claims 19, 21 and 22, Primex Aerospace Company clearly discloses the features and components of applicants claims 19, 21 and 22 (see at least pages 5-10 and Figs. 1-3) and it would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention to include such features and components in the Grace et al. distributed charge inflator so as to enhance its occupant protective performance during a crash event.

Regarding claims 23 and 24, the examiner takes official notice that booster charges for boosting the ignition of a charge or plurality of charges were well known in the art at the time of the invention. In view of this official notice, it would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a booster charge in the Grace et al. inflator system so as to boost the ignition of the Grace et al. distributed charges and therefore ensure that the inflation gas would be produced in a timely manner after ignition.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES S. BERGIN whose telephone number is (571)272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 - 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,022 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James S. Bergin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641